

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 09/726,785

FILING DATE 11/30/2000

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Matheus Godefridus Johannus Bel

PHN 17,756

PAPER NUMBER

24737

7590

08/18/2003

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

SHAPIRO, LEONID

ART UNIT 2673

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/726,785	BEL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Leonid Shapiro	2673
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
•	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are pending•in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/726,785

Art Unit: 2673

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 07-22-03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 4 recites "peripheral part extends in a plane (III) between a bottom plane (I) through bottoms of longitudinal channels (20) and a top plane (II) through a top of longitudinal channels (20). What is a plane III? There is no Drawing or description showing orientation of

Application/Control Number: 09/726,785

Art Unit: 2673

Claim also 6 also recites "being stopped before grinding wheel reaches an opposite edge of plate. Where is that opposite edge of plate shown? Is it before or after item 56 in Figure 6.

Figure 5 is confusing: In order to understand the claimed invention, the Examiner is requesting the Applicant to provide a more explanatory figure 5 as to how the planes I, II and III are correlated to each other.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said first portion (52)". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the interrelation s between I, II and III planes. must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,785

Art Unit: 2673

the plane III. It is not clear the interrelation between planes I, II and III. Please provide a figure to explain the relationship between these planes I, II and III.

Claim 4 also recites "Channel comprises a sloping ramp (55) sloping from bottom plane (I) to plane (III) and ending in peripheral part (50, 51). Where is a **sloping** ramp 55? It is not shown in the drawings or in the description. There is no Drawing or description showing orientation of the **sloping** ramp and the plane III. Where is the interrelation shown between plane III and **sloping** ramp?

The specification and drawings do not show or describe the plane III or/and thesloping ramp in perspective or in a plane. Figure 5 is confusing and does not help in to understand the claimed subject matter, because it does not show the correlation between planes I, II, III and sloping ramp. Furthermore, Figure 5 does not show the "D" dimension. Figure 5 shows items 58, 59 which are not described in the Specification.

Claim 5 recites: "a central part (52) having a first depth, flanked on one or both sides by a second portion (53) having reduced depth, a third portion (54) having a depth corresponding to first portion (52), bottoms of first, second and third portions extending in the bottom plane (I); and a fourth portion comprising **sloping** ramp (55)".

- (1) How can (52) be central part, while in the figure shown (52) at the end?
- (2) It is not clear how the first, second and third portions are extended in plane (I)?
- (3) The fourth portion sloping ramp (55) not shown in Figure 5.

Claim 6 recites "a depth between a bottom and top of longitudinal channels". Where is this depth shown in the drawings?

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

ls August 14, 2003

> BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600